

## **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW OF APRIL 9, 2008**

### Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

N/A

### Identification of Claims Discussed

5           Claims 1, 8 and 15

### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0060452 to Rengarajan

### Proposed Amendments

N/A

### 10   Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicants explained their reasons for disagreeing with the Examiner's characterization of the element 114 in Rengarajan as both a PCI-Express slot and a PCI-Express connector. The element 114 is a system bus (Rengarajan, Fig. 1, para. 13), which is physically and functionally distinct from both a PCI-Express slot and a PCI-Express connector.

### 15   Results of Interview

Applicants failed to reach agreement with the Examiner.

## **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW OF APRIL 17, 2008**

### Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

N/A

### Identification of Claims Discussed

5           Claim 1

### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0060452 to Rengarajan

### Proposed Amendments

N/A

10       Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicants explained their reasons for disagreeing with the Examiner's characterization of the element 114 in Rengarajan as both a PCI-Express slot and a PCI-Express connector. The element 114 is a system bus (Rengarajan, Fig. 1, para. 13), which is physically and functionally distinct from both a PCI-Express slot and a PCI-Express connector.

15       Results of Interview

The Examiner suggested that in order to distinguish Rengarajan Applicants should amend the claims to specify that the card includes at least two independent PCI-Express devices, each independent PCI-Express device using an independent set of PCI-Express lanes.